

Thermodynamically admissible form for discrete hydrodynamics

Pep Español

Dept. Física Fundamental, UNED, Aptdo. 60141 E-28080, Madrid, Spain

Hans Christian Öttinger

Institute of Polymers, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, ETH-Zentrum, ML J 19, CH-8092, Zürich, Switzerland

We construct a discrete model of fluid particles according to the GENERIC formalism. The model has the form of Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics including correct thermal fluctuations. A slight variation of the model reproduces the Dissipative Particle Dynamics model with any desired thermodynamic behavior. The resulting algorithm has the following properties: mass, momentum and energy are conserved, entropy is a non-decreasing function of time and the thermal fluctuations produce the correct Einstein distribution function at equilibrium.

Particle based methods for solving hydrodynamic problems are good candidates for the study of complex fluids because they allow an easy treatment of complicated geometries as those appearing in the interstitial regions of a colloidal or polymeric suspension. In addition, they allow us to use molecular dynamic codes which are comparatively much simpler than usual computational fluid dynamics algorithms.

Two seemingly distinct particle methods are particularly appealing because of their versatility, Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics (SPH) and Dissipative Particle Dynamics (DPD). SPH is a well-known model in the context of computational astrophysics [1] that is receiving growing interest for the study of laboratory fluid dynamical problems [2]. This method is basically a discretization of Navier-Stokes equations on a Lagrangian grid with the aid of a weight function. At present, there is still no version of SPH that consistently includes thermal fluctuations, that is, there is no SPH discretization of fluctuating hydrodynamics [3,4]. However, thermal fluctuations are crucial if one wants to use SPH for the study of complex fluids. Thermal fluctuations are the ultimate responsible for the diffusive behavior of suspended objects [5]. We are faced, therefore, with the problem of generalizing SPH to the mesoscopic level where fluctuations are important. On the other hand, DPD [6] is another particle based model that includes thermal fluctuations in a sensible way [7] and it has hydrodynamic behavior [8]. For these reasons it has been applied to a large variety of problems dealing with complex fluids [9]. The initial problem of non-conservation of the energy has been resolved and the technique can now be applied to non-isothermal problems as well [10]. There remains, however, a fundamental problem in DPD which is the physical interpretation of the conservative interactions between DPD particles, which determine the full thermodynamic behavior of the system [11].

In this letter, we give a solution to these basic problems with SPH and DPD. We formulate a Lagrangian discrete off-lattice model for hydrodynamics which generalizes SPH by including correct thermal fluctuations. A slight variation produces the DPD model with any desired thermodynamic behavior. The derivation of these models is done within the context of the GENERIC formalism which ensures thermodynamic consistency [12]. GENERIC postulates that any physically sensible dynamic equation in non-equilibrium thermodynamics has an underlying structure. All the dynamic equations studied so far fit into the formalism. Linear irreversible thermodynamics, non-relativistic and relativistic hydrodynamics, Boltzmann's equation, polymer kinetic theory, and chemical reactions, just to mention a few, have all the GENERIC structure [12,13]. It is natural, then, to formulate a model for fluid particles within the GENERIC formalism. As it is becoming apparent in recent years, by putting "more physics" into discretization of partial differential equations one gets improved behaviour (i.e. stability) of the discretized equations.

The GENERIC formalism states that the time evolution equations for a complete set of independent variables x required for the description of a non-equilibrium system have the structure

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = L \cdot \frac{\partial E}{\partial x} + M \cdot \frac{\partial S}{\partial x}. \quad (1)$$

The first term in the right hand side produces the reversible part of the dynamics whereas the second term is responsible of the irreversible dissipative dynamics. Here, E, S are the energy and entropy of the system expressed in terms of the variables x and L, M are matrices that satisfy the following degeneracy requirements,

$$L \cdot \frac{\partial S}{\partial x} = 0, \quad M \cdot \frac{\partial E}{\partial x} = 0. \quad (2)$$

In addition, L is antisymmetric (this guarantees that energy is conserved) and M is a positive definite symmetric matrix (this guarantees that the entropy is a nondecreasing function of time). If the system presents dynamical invariants $I(x)$ different from the total energy, then further restrictions on the form of L and M are required

$$\frac{\partial I}{\partial x} \cdot L \cdot \frac{\partial E}{\partial x} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial I}{\partial x} \cdot M \cdot \frac{\partial S}{\partial x} = 0. \quad (3)$$

These conditions ensure that $dI/dt = 0$.

The deterministic equations (1) are, actually, an approximation in which thermal fluctuations are neglected. If thermal fluctuations are not neglected, the dynamics is described by stochastic differential equations or, equivalently, by a Fokker-Planck equation that governs the probability distribution function $\rho = \rho(x, t)$. This FPE has the form [12]

$$\partial_t \rho = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \cdot \left[\rho \left[L \cdot \frac{\partial E}{\partial x} + M \cdot \frac{\partial S}{\partial x} \right] - k_B M \cdot \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x} \right], \quad (4)$$

where k_B is Boltzmann's constant. We require that the equilibrium solution of this Fokker-Planck equation is given by the Einstein distribution function in the presence of dynamical invariants [14], this is

$$\rho^{\text{eq}}(x) = g(E(x), I(x)) \exp\{S(x)/k_B\}, \quad (5)$$

where the function g is completely determined by the arbitrary initial distribution of dynamical invariants. This imposes further conditions on the matrices L, M , namely,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \cdot \left[L \cdot \frac{\partial E}{\partial x} \right] = 0, \quad M \cdot \frac{\partial I}{\partial x} = 0. \quad (6)$$

The first property can be derived independently with projection operator techniques [12]. The second property implies that the last equation in (3) is automatically satisfied. When fluctuations are present, the entropy functional $\mathcal{S}[\rho] = \int S(x) \rho(x, t) dx - k_B \int \rho(x, t) \ln \rho(x, t) dx$ plays the role of a Lyapunov function with $\partial_t \mathcal{S}[\rho] \geq 0$.

We finally consider the Itô stochastic differential equations that are mathematically equivalent to the above Fokker-Planck equation [15]

$$dx = \left[L \cdot \frac{\partial E}{\partial x} + M \cdot \frac{\partial S}{\partial x} + k_B \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \cdot M \right] dt + d\tilde{x}. \quad (7)$$

Here, the stochastic term $d\tilde{x}$ is a linear combination of independent increments of the Wiener process. It satisfies the mnemotechnical Itô rule

$$d\tilde{x} d\tilde{x}^T = 2k_B M dt, \quad (8)$$

which means that $d\tilde{x}$ is an infinitesimal of order 1/2 [15]. Eqn. (8) is a compact and formal statement of the fluctuation-dissipation theorem.

When formulating new models it might be convenient to specify $d\tilde{x}$ directly instead of M . This ensures that M through (8) automatically satisfies the symmetry and positive definite character. In order to guarantee that the total energy and dynamical invariants do not change in time, a strong requirement on the form of $d\tilde{x}$ holds,

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial x} \cdot d\tilde{x} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial I}{\partial x} \cdot d\tilde{x} = 0, \quad (9)$$

implying the last equations in (2) and (6).

The basic problem in any non-equilibrium description of a given system is to identify the relevant set of variables in the system. We aim at modeling *fluid particles*, that is, portions of fluid or large clusters of molecules that move following collective motions. It is sensible to assume that these fluid particles are small moving thermodynamic systems with the center of mass located at $\mathbf{r}_i$, and with momentum $\mathbf{p}_i$, volume $\mathcal{V}_i$, mass m_i , and entropy s_i (or internal energy ϵ_i). Even though they are thermodynamic systems in the sense that an entropy function can be defined, the fluid particles are assumed to be small enough to suffer from stochastic fluctuations due to the underlying molecules forming the fluid particle. The state of the system is $x = \{\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{p}_i, \mathcal{V}_i, m_i, s_i, i = 1, \dots, N\}$, where N is the number of fluid particles.

The two basic building blocks in GENERIC are the energy and the entropy of the system as a function of the selected variables. In our case they are

$$E(x) = \sum_i \frac{\mathbf{p}_i^2}{2m_i} + \epsilon(\mathcal{V}_i, m_i, s_i), \quad S(x) = \sum_i s_i, \quad (10)$$

where $\epsilon(\mathcal{V}_i, m_i, s_i)$ is the internal energy as a function of the extensive variables of the fluid particle. We will assume the hypothesis of local equilibrium, in accordance with the usual treatment of hydrodynamics. Therefore, the internal energy of the fluid particles is the same function of the mass, volume, and entropy as the total internal energy of the whole fluid system at equilibrium. We can now consider the derivatives of E and S which are given by

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial x} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{v}_i \\ -p_i \\ \mu_i - \frac{1}{2}v_i^2 \\ T_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \frac{\partial S}{\partial x} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (11)$$

where $\mathbf{v}_i = \mathbf{p}_i/m_i$ is the velocity of the fluid particles and the intensive parameters are the pressure p_i , the chemical potential per unit mass μ_i , and the temperature T_i which are functions of the extensive variables $\mathcal{V}_i, m_i, s_i$ of the fluid particles. We have to consider also the dynamical invariants $I(x)$ that we want to retain in the discrete model. These dynamical invariants are the total momentum $\mathbf{P} = \sum_i \mathbf{p}_i$, the total volume $\mathcal{V} = \sum_i \mathcal{V}_i$, and the total mass $\mathcal{M} = \sum_i m_i$.

We construct now the L matrix for the discrete hydrodynamics problem. We impose that the reversible part of the equations of motion should give $\dot{\mathbf{r}}_i|_{\text{rev}} = \mathbf{v}_i$, $\dot{m}_i|_{\text{rev}} = \dot{s}_i|_{\text{rev}} = 0$. That is, the position of the fluid particles changes according to its velocity, the “identity” of the fluid particles is given by the constant mass it possesses, and finally, the reversible part of the dynamics should not produce any change in the entropy content of the fluid particle. By looking at the term $L \cdot \partial E / \partial x$ in Eqn. (7) with the energy derivatives given in (11), the L

matrix that produces the desired equations can only be of the form of $N \times N$ blocks $\mathbf{L}_{ij}$ of size 9×9 of the form

$$\mathbf{L}_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1}\delta_{ij} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ -\mathbf{1}\delta_{ij} & \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{ij} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & -\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{ji}^T & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (12)$$

The two last rows ensure the invariance of m_i, s_i , the two last columns are fixed by antisymmetry. The first row ensures the equation of motion for the position and the first column is fixed by antisymmetry. We still have freedom for the form of the vector $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{ij}$ which can, in principle, depend on all state variables. L is antisymmetric because $\mathbf{L}_{ij} = -\mathbf{L}_{ji}^T$ and it satisfies Eqn. (2). The effect on the invariants, Eqn. (3), is guaranteed if $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{ij}$ obeys

$$\sum_i \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{ij} = \sum_i \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{ji} = 0. \quad (13)$$

Finally, we can guarantee the first property in Eqn. (6) if the following identity is satisfied

$$\sum_{ij} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{p}_i} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{ij} p_j + \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{v}_i} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{ji} \cdot \mathbf{v}_j \right] = 0. \quad (14)$$

The resulting reversible equations for momentum and volume are

$$\dot{\mathbf{p}}_i|_{\text{rev}} = - \sum_j \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{ij} p_j, \quad \dot{\mathcal{V}}_i|_{\text{rev}} = - \sum_j \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{ji} \cdot \mathbf{v}_j. \quad (15)$$

Note that the vector $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{ij}$ can be interpreted as a discrete version of the gradient operator in such a way that the above equations look like a discrete version of the momentum equation and continuity equation (in terms of the specific volume $1/\rho$ instead of the mass density ρ) of a non-dissipative fluid in a Lagrangian description. We propose the following form for $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{ij}$

$$\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{ij} = \boldsymbol{\omega}_{ij} - \frac{1}{N} \left[\sum_k \boldsymbol{\omega}_{ik} - \sum_k \boldsymbol{\omega}_{jk} \right] \quad (16)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{ij} = (\mathcal{V}/N)^2 \nabla \Delta(r_{ij})$, and $\Delta(r)$ being a weight function of range r_c normalized to unity, $\int d\mathbf{r} \Delta(r) = 1$. This form (16) satisfies Eqns. (13) and (14). If the range r_c is much smaller than the typical length scale of variation of the hydrodynamic variables *and* the typical distance between points is much smaller than r_c , then it is possible to prove that

$$\mathbf{v}_i \nabla f(r_i) \sim \sum_j \boldsymbol{\omega}_{ij} f(r_j) \quad (17)$$

Under these circumstances, the discrete equations (15) converge towards the continuum Euler equations of hydrodynamics.

Our aim now is to construct the matrix M . We have to specify first where irreversibility occurs. We do not want irreversible processes associated with the evolution of the position, volume or mass of the particles. This implies that the noise term in the equation of motion (7) has the structure $d\tilde{x}^T \rightarrow (\mathbf{0}, d\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_i, 0, 0, d\tilde{s}_i)$.

Thermal fluctuations are introduced into the equations of hydrodynamics through a random stress tensor and random heat flux [3]. By simple analogy with the expression of the random noise in non-linear hydrodynamics [16], we postulate the following random terms

$$d\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_i = \sum_j \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{ij} \cdot d\tilde{\sigma}_j, \quad d\tilde{s}_i = \frac{1}{T_i} \sum_j \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{ij} \cdot d\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_j^q + \frac{1}{T_i} d\tilde{\sigma}_i : \sum_j \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{ij} \mathbf{v}_j^T, \quad (18)$$

where the random stress $d\tilde{\sigma}_i$ and random heat flux $d\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_i^q$ are defined by

$$d\tilde{\sigma}_i = (4k_B T_i \eta_i)^{1/2} d\overline{\mathbf{W}}_i^S + (6k_B T_i \zeta_i)^{1/2} \mathbf{1} \frac{1}{D} \text{tr}[d\mathbf{W}_i], \quad d\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_i^q = T_i (2k_B \kappa_i)^{1/2} d\mathbf{V}_i. \quad (19)$$

Here, η_i is the shear viscosity, ζ_i is the bulk viscosity, and κ_i is the thermal conductivity. The traceless symmetric random matrix $d\overline{\mathbf{W}}_i^S$ is given by

$$d\overline{\mathbf{W}}_i^S = \frac{1}{2} [d\mathbf{W}_i + d\mathbf{W}_i^T] - \frac{1}{D} \text{tr}[d\mathbf{W}_i] \mathbf{1}. \quad (20)$$

D is the physical dimension of space and $d\mathbf{W}_i$ is a matrix of independent Wiener increments. The vector $d\mathbf{V}_i$ is also a vector of independent Wiener increments. They satisfy the Itô mnemotechnical rules

$$d\mathbf{W}_i^{\mu\mu'} d\mathbf{W}_j^{\nu\nu'} = \delta_{ij} \delta_{\mu\nu} \delta_{\mu'\nu'} dt, \quad d\mathbf{V}_i^\mu d\mathbf{V}_j^\nu = \delta_{ij} \delta_{\mu\nu} dt, \quad d\mathbf{V}_i^\mu d\mathbf{W}_j^{\nu\nu'} = 0. \quad (21)$$

Note that the postulated forms for $d\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_i, d\tilde{s}_i$ in Eqn. (18) satisfy $\sum_i \mathbf{v}_i \cdot d\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_i + T_i d\tilde{s}_i = 0$ and $\sum_i d\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_i = 0$ and, therefore, Eqns. (9) are satisfied. This means that the postulated noise terms conserve momentum and energy exactly. It is now a matter of algebra to construct the dyadic $d\tilde{x}d\tilde{x}^T$ and from Eqn. (8) extract the matrix M . Once M is constructed, the final equations of motion for the discrete hydrodynamic variables are ($D = 3$ and, for simplicity, constant transport coefficients are assumed),

$$\begin{aligned} dm_i &= 0, & d\mathbf{r}_i &= \mathbf{v}_i dt, & d\mathcal{V}_i &= D_i dt \\ d\mathbf{p}_i &= - \left(\sum_j \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{ij} p_j + \sum_j \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{ij} \cdot \sigma_j \right) dt + d\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_i \\ T_i ds_i &= \left(1 - \frac{k_B}{C_{Vi}} \right) (2\eta \overline{\mathbf{G}}_i : \overline{\mathbf{G}}_i + \zeta D_i^2) dt \\ &\quad - \sum_j \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{ij} \cdot \mathbf{J}_j^q dt + T_i d\tilde{s}_i \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

We have introduced the stress tensor σ_i , the traceless symmetric velocity “gradient” tensor $\overline{\mathbf{G}}_i$, and the “divergence” D_i by

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_i^{\mu\nu} &= -2\eta\overline{\mathbf{G}}_i^{\mu\nu} - \zeta D_i\delta^{\mu\nu} \\ \overline{\mathbf{G}}_i^{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_k[\Omega_{ik}^\mu\mathbf{v}_k^\nu + \Omega_{ik}^\nu\mathbf{v}_k^\mu] - \frac{1}{3}\delta^{\mu\nu}\sum_k\Omega_{ik}\cdot\mathbf{v}_k \\ D_i &= \sum_k\Omega_{ik}\cdot\mathbf{v}_k\end{aligned}\quad (23)$$

The heat flux $\mathbf{J}_i^q$ of particle i is defined by,

$$\mathbf{J}_i^q = T_i^2\kappa\sum_k\Omega_{ik}\left[\frac{1}{T_k} + \frac{k_B}{C_{V_k}}(1 + \delta_{ik})\frac{1}{T_k}\right]\quad (24)$$

One can easily recognize all the terms corresponding to the continuum equations of hydrodynamics [17]. The factor k_B/C_{V_i} , where C_{V_i} is the specific heat at constant volume of particle i , comes from the term $k_B\partial\cdot M/\partial x$ in Eqn. (7). In the continuum version of hydrodynamics, this term is zero due to locality [18].

Eqns. (22) are the main result of this Letter. They have the structure of SPH but conserve total mass, total momentum, total energy and total volume of the particles. Because of the GENERIC structure of the equations, the entropy functional $S[\rho]$ of the system is a non-decreasing function of time and the equilibrium solution is given by Einstein distribution function.

Instead of the noise terms (18) one could also postulate the noise terms as in DPD [10]

$$\begin{aligned}d\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_i &= \sum_j\mathbf{B}_{ij}dW_{ij} \\ d\tilde{s}_i &= -\frac{1}{T_i}\sum_j\mathbf{B}_{ij}\cdot\mathbf{v}_i dW_{ij} + \frac{1}{T_i}\sum_jA_{ij}dV_{ij}\end{aligned}\quad (25)$$

where $\mathbf{B}_{ij} = -\mathbf{B}_{ji}$ and $A_{ij} = A_{ji}$ are suitable functions of position and, perhaps, other state variables. The independent Wiener processes satisfy $dW_{ij} = dW_{ji}$ and $dV_{ij} = -dV_{ji}$ and the following Itô mnemotechnical rules

$$\begin{aligned}dW_{ii'}dW_{jj'} &= [\delta_{ij}\delta_{i'j'} + \delta_{ij'}\delta_{i'j}]dt \\ dV_{ii'}dV_{jj'} &= [\delta_{ij}\delta_{i'j'} - \delta_{ij'}\delta_{i'j}]dt\end{aligned}\quad (26)$$

These noise terms satisfy also Eqn. (9) and momentum and energy are conserved. The resulting dynamic equations have the same reversible part as in Eqn. (22) and have the familiar “Brownian dashpot” dissipative forces of the DPD model. In this way, by introducing a volume and an internal energy variables into the standard DPD model, one derives a thermodynamically consistent model in which the “conservative” forces are truly pressure forces between DPD particles. The resulting DPD equations are simpler than Eqns. (22) and produce macroscopic hydrodynamic behaviour, but the identification of the actual values of the transport coefficients is less obvious.

P.E. wishes to acknowledge useful conversations with M. Serrano and M. Ripoll and financial support from DGYCIT Project No PB97-0077.

-
- [1] L.B. Lucy, *Astron. J.* **82**, 1013 (1977). J.J. Monaghan, *Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.* **30**, 543 (1992).
 - [2] H. Takeda, S.M. Miyama, and M. Sekiya, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **92**, 939 (1994). H.A. Posch, W.G. Hoover, and O. Kum, *Phys. Rev. E* **52**, 1711 (1995). O. Kum, W.G. Hoover, and H.A. Posch, *Phys. Rev. E* **52**, 4899 (1995).
 - [3] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, *Fluid Mechanics* (Pergamon Press, 1959).
 - [4] *Eulerian* implementations of fluctuating hydrodynamics have been considered by A.L. Garcia, M.M. Mansour, G.C. Lie, and E. Clementi, *J. Stat. Phys.* **47**, 209 (1987) and H.-P. Breuer and F. Petruccione, *Physica A* **192**, 569 (1993).
 - [5] P. Mazur and D. Bedeaux, *Physica* **76**, 235 (1974).
 - [6] P.J. Hoogerbrugge and J.M.V.A. Koelman, *Europhys. Lett.* **19**, 155 (1992). J.M.V.A. Koelman and P.J. Hoogerbrugge, *Europhys. Lett.* **21**, 369 (1993).
 - [7] P. Español and P. Warren, *Europhys. Lett.* **30**, 191 (1995).
 - [8] P. Español, *Phys. Rev. E*, **52**, 1734 (1995). C. Marsh, G. Backx, and M.H. Ernst, *Europhys. Lett.* **38**, 411 (1997). C. Marsh, G. Backx, and M.H. Ernst, *Phys. Rev. E* **56**, 1976 (1997). P. Español, *Phys. Rev. E*, **57**, 2930 (1998).
 - [9] A.G. Schlijper, P.J. Hoogerbrugge, and C.W. Manke, *J. Rheol.* **39**, 567 (1995). P.V. Coveney and K. Novik, *Phys. Rev. E* **54**, 5134 (1996). E.S. Boek, P.V. Coveney, H.N.W. Lekkerkerker, and P. van der Schoot, *Phys. Rev. E* **55**, 3124 (1997).
 - [10] J. Bonet Avalós and A.D. Mackie, *Europhys. Lett.* **40**, 141 (1997). P. Español, *Europhysics Letters* **40**, 631 (1997). M. Ripoll, P. Español, and M.H. Ernst, *International Journal of Modern Physics C*, to appear.
 - [11] R.D. Groot and P.B. Warren, *J. Chem. Phys.* **107**, 4423 (1997).
 - [12] M. Grmela and H.C. Öttinger, *Phys. Rev. E* **56**, 6620 (1997). H.C. Öttinger and M. Grmela, *Phys. Rev. E* **56**, 6633 (1997). H.C. Öttinger, *Phys. Rev. E* **57**, 1416 (1998).
 - [13] H.C. Öttinger, *J. Non-Equilib. Thermodyn.* **22**, 386 (1997). H.C. Öttinger, *Physica A* **254**, 433 (1998).
 - [14] J. Español and F.J. de la Rubia, *Physica A* **187**, 589 (1992).
 - [15] C.W. Gardiner, *Handbook of Stochastic Methods*, (Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1983). H. C. Öttinger, *Stochastic Processes in Polymeric Fluids*, Springer-Verlag, 1996.
 - [16] P. Español, *Physica A* **248**, 77 (1998).
 - [17] S.R. de Groot and P. Mazur, *Non-equilibrium thermodynamics* (North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 1962).
 - [18] W. van Saarloos, D. Bedeaux, and P. Mazur, *Physica*, **110A**, 147 (1982).